1	Lewis Emery Hudnell III (CA SBN 218736)	
2	lewis@hudnelllaw.com HUDNELL LAW GROUP 800 W. El Camino Real, Suite 180	
3	Mountain View, CA 94040 Telephone: (650) 564-3698	
4	Jon VanOphem (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
5	john@vanophemiplaw.com VANOPHEM IP LAW PLC	
6	1585 S. Hickory Ridge Rd.	
7	Milford, MI 48380 Telephone: (248) 817-8913	
8	David J. Shea (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
9	david.shea@sadplaw.com 26100 American Drive #2	
10	Southfield, MI 48034 Telephone: (248) 354-0224	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12	HANTŽ SOFTWARE, LLC	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	OAKLAND DIVISION	
16	HANTZ SOFTWARE, LLC., a Michigan Limited Liability Company,	Case No. 4:21-cv-01987-HSG
17	Plaintiff,	REQUEST FOR ZOOM HEARING
18	v.	
19	SAGE INTACCT, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	
20	Defendant.	
21	D1 1 10077	
22	Plaintiff Hantz Software, LLC respectfully requests that the October 14, 2021 hearing on	
23	Defendant Sage Intacct, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss be conducted by Zoom instead of by telephone.	
24	The Court's calendar originally indicated that this hearing would be conducted in person. As such,	
25	Hantz anticipated using the courtroom audio-visual equipment to present slides explaining its	
26	technology in support of its arguments. The Court subsequently changed the hearing from an in-	
27		REQUEST FOR ZOOM HEARIN Case No. 4:21-cv-01987-HS
		Case IVO. 7.21-07-01707-113
28		

person hearing to a telephonic hearing. But to ensure that the Court has a complete understanding of 1 2 Hantz's technology and the issues raised by Sage's Motion, Hantz respectfully submits that a Zoom 3 hearing is better suited for presenting slides and would be preferable. Hantz is agreeable to 4 exchanging slides with Sage no later than noon of the day before the hearing. 5 Sage's position on the present request is: Sage defers to the Court's preference as to the hearing's format. From Sage's perspective, the issues to be discussed are suitable for a telephonic 6 7 hearing. Sage does not oppose the use of slides, which can be presented via telephonic hearing so 8 long as any slides that a party proposes to use are submitted to the Court and served on the parties no 9 later than noon of the day before the hearing. 10 Accordingly, Hantz respectfully requests that the Court grant this request. Dated: October 8, 2021 Respectfully Submitted, 11 12 /s/Lewis E. Hudnell, III 13 Lewis Emery Hudnell III (CA SBN 218736) lewis@hudnelllaw.com 14 HUDNELL LAW GROUP 800 W. El Camino Real, Suite 180 15 Mountain View, CA 94040 Telephone: (650) 564-3698 16 Jon VanOphem (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) 17 john@vanophemiplaw.com VANOPHÉM IP LAW PLC 18 1585 S. Hickory Ridge Rd. Milford, MI 48380 19 Telephone: (248) 817-8913 20 David J. Shea (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) david.shea@sadplaw.com 21 26100 American Drive #2 Southfield, MI 48034 22 Telephone: (248) 354-0224 23 Attorneys for Plaintiff HANTZ SOFTWARE, LLC 24 25 26 REOUEST FOR ZOOM HEARING 2 Case No. 4:21-cv-01987-HSG 27

28